The Theoretical Minimum Classical Mechanics - Solutions L10E03

 $Last \ version: \ tales.mbivert.com/on-the-theoretical-minimum-solutions/ \ or \ github.com/mbivert/ttm/deltast \ version: \ versio$

M. Bivert

May 10, 2023

Exercise 1. Using the definition of PB's and the axioms, work out the PB's in Equations (19). Hint: In each expression, look for things in the parentheses that have non-zero Poisson Brackets with the coordinate x, y or z. For example, in the first PB, x has a nonzero PB with p_x .

Let's start by recalling Equations (19):

$$\{x, L_z\} = \{x, (xp_y - yp_x)\} \{y, L_z\} = \{y, (xp_y - yp_x)\} \{z, L_z\} = \{z, (xp_y - yp_x)\}$$

Then, let's make things a little clearer/regular by renaming our coordinate variables:

$$x = q_x;$$
 $y = q_y;$ $z = q_z$

So, for $k \in \{x, y, z\}$ (that's the set containing x, y and z, not a weird Poisson bracket), then all the Poisson brackets to compute are of the form:

$$\{q_k, L_z\} = \{q_k, (q_x p_y - q_y p_x)\}$$

Let's reduce it from the axioms:

$$\begin{cases} q_k, L_z \} &= \{q_k, (q_x p_y - q_y p_x)\} \\ &= -\{(q_x p_y - q_y p_x), q_k\} \text{ (anti-symmetry)} \\ &= -\{(q_x p_y, q_k\} - \{q_y p_x, q_k\}) \text{ (linearity)} \\ &= \{q_y p_x, q_k\} - \{q_x p_y, q_k\} \\ &= (q_y \{p_x, q_k\} + p_x \underbrace{\{q_y, q_k\}}_{=0}) - (q_x \{p_y, q_k\} + p_y \underbrace{\{q_x, q_k\}}_{=0}) \text{ (product rule)} \\ &= q_y \{p_x, q_k\} - \{q_x p_y, q_k\} + q_x \underbrace{\{q_y, q_k\}}_{=0} + q_y p_y q_k \end{bmatrix}$$

Now suffice for us to evaluate that last expression with each value of k, and simplify the result with $\{q_i, p_j\} = \delta_i^j$:

$$k = x : \{q_x, L_z\} = q_y\{p_x, q_x\} - q_x\{p_y, q_x\} = q_y$$

$$k = y : \{q_x, L_z\} = q_y\{p_x, q_y\} - q_x\{p_y, q_y\} = -q_x$$

$$k = z : \{q_x, L_z\} = q_y\{p_x, q_z\} - q_x\{p_y, q_z\} = 0$$

Or, with the original notations:

$$\{x, L_z\} = y \{y, L_z\} = -x \{z, L_z\} = 0$$

Remark 1. Our solution slightly differs from the one in the book, as the latter contains a small sign error: the infinitesimal rotation is said to be:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_x &= -\epsilon y \\ \delta_y &= \epsilon x \end{aligned}$$

But earlier in the 7th lecture (p135), it was defined to be, small renaming aside:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \delta_x &=& \epsilon y \\ \delta_y &=& -\epsilon x \end{array}$$