

# The Theoretical Minimum

## Quantum Mechanics - Solutions

L04E03

Last version: [tales.mbivert.com/on-the-theoretical-minimum-solutions/](https://tales.mbivert.com/on-the-theoretical-minimum-solutions/) or [github.com/mbivert/ttm](https://github.com/mbivert/ttm)

M. Bivert

May 10, 2023

**Exercise 1.** Go back to the definition of Poisson brackets in Volume I and check that the identification in Eq. 4.21 is dimensionally consistent. Show that without the factor  $\hbar$ , it would not be.

Let's recall first Eq. 4.21, where  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is the commutator and  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  the Poisson brackets:

$$[F, G] \iff i\hbar\{F, G\}$$

The Poisson brackets are defined in Volume I, Eq. (9) at the end of Lecture 9 (The Phase Space Fluid and the Gibbs-Liouville Theorem), as:

$$\{F, G\} := \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial q_i} \right)$$

Where the  $p_i$  are the generalized momentum, and  $q_i$  are the generalized coordinates. Recall that a momentum is typically defined as a mass in motion, while the coordinates are simply distances to an origin:

$$[p_i] = \text{kg.m.s}^{-1}; \quad [q_i] = \text{m}$$

For clarity, let's rewrite one of those partial derivative in terms of a limit:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(q_i + \epsilon) - F(q_i)}{\epsilon}$$

First  $\epsilon$  must be of the same dimension than  $q_i$  is this case, for otherwise  $q_i + \epsilon$  is ill-defined; more generally it'll have the same dimension that the dimension of the differentiation variable.

Second, observe that, again because otherwise we'd be adding carrots and potatoes:

$$\left[ \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial q_i} \right) \right] = \left[ \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial q_i} \right], \quad \text{for any arbitrary } i \text{ that is}$$

But then,

$$[i\hbar\{F, G\}] = \left[ \hbar \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial q_i} \right) \right] = [\hbar] \left[ \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_i} \right] - [\hbar] \left[ \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial q_i} \right]$$

We know  $[\hbar] = \text{kg.m}^2.\text{s}^{-1} = [q_i p_i]$ , and if we make the limits explicit as we did before, it remains from the previous expression:

$$[i\hbar\{F, G\}] = [FG]$$

On the other side:

$$[[F, G]] = [FG - GF]$$

For  $FG - GF$  to be well defined, it must be that  $[FG] = [GF]$ . And so we're done:

$$\boxed{[[F, G]] = [FG] = [i\hbar\{F, G\}]} \quad \square$$