## The Theoretical Minimum Quantum Mechanics - Solutions L06E09

 $Last \ version: \ tales.mbivert.com/on-the-theoretical-minimum-solutions/ \ or \ github.com/mbivert/ttm$ 

## M. Bivert

## May 10, 2023

**Exercise 1.** Prove that the four vectors  $|sing\rangle$ ,  $|T_1\rangle$ ,  $|T_2\rangle$ , and  $|T_3\rangle$  are eigenvectors of  $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}$ . What are their eigenvalues?

Recall the definition of those four vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{sing}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |ud\rangle - |du\rangle \right); \qquad |T_1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |ud\rangle + |du\rangle \right) \\ |T_2\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |uu\rangle + |dd\rangle \right); \qquad |T_3\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |uu\rangle - |dd\rangle \right) \end{aligned}$$

And the definition of  $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}$ :

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} = \sigma_x \tau_x + \sigma_y \tau_y + \sigma_z \tau_z$$

Again for this exercise, we won't need to explicitly use the Pauli matrices  $\sigma_i/\tau_j$ . But actually, we won't even need the multiplication table either, as we've already done most of the work in earlier exercises. Indeed, if we want to prove that  $|\Psi\rangle$  is an eigenvector for  $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}$ , we expect to be able to carry some computation following this pattern:

$$\begin{aligned} (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}) |\Psi\rangle &= (\sigma_x \tau_x + \sigma_y \tau_y + \sigma_z \tau_z) |\Psi\rangle \\ &= (\sigma_x \tau_x) |\Psi\rangle + (\sigma_y \tau_y) |\Psi\rangle + (\sigma_z \tau_z) |\Psi\rangle \\ &= \dots \\ &= \lambda_{\Psi} |\Psi\rangle \end{aligned}$$

But we know from the book that:

$$\sigma_x \tau_x |\text{sing}\rangle = \sigma_y \tau_y |\text{sing}\rangle = \sigma_z \tau_z |\text{sing}\rangle = -|\text{sing}\rangle$$

From L06E07 that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_x \tau_x |T_1\rangle &= \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|du\rangle + |ud\rangle) &=: \quad T_1; \\ \sigma_y \tau_y |T_1\rangle &= \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|du\rangle + |ud\rangle) &=: \quad T_1; \\ \sigma_z \tau_z |T_1\rangle &= \quad -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|du\rangle + |ud\rangle) &=: \quad -T_1; \end{aligned}$$

And from L06E08 that:

$$\begin{split} \sigma_x \tau_x |T_2\rangle &= \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|uu\rangle + |dd\rangle) &=: \quad T_2; \quad \sigma_x \tau_x |T_3\rangle &= \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|dd\rangle - |uu\rangle) =: \quad -T_3; \\ \sigma_y \tau_y |T_2\rangle &= \quad -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|uu\rangle + |dd\rangle) &=: \quad -T_2; \quad \sigma_y \tau_y |T_3\rangle &= \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|uu\rangle - |dd\rangle) =: \quad T_3; \\ \sigma_z \tau_z |T_2\rangle &= \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|uu\rangle + |dd\rangle) &=: \quad T_2; \quad \sigma_z \tau_z |T_3\rangle &= \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|uu\rangle - |dd\rangle) =: \quad T_3. \end{split}$$

It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau})|\text{sing}\rangle &= \sigma_x \tau_x)|\text{sing}\rangle + (\sigma_y \tau_y)|\text{sing}\rangle + (\sigma_z \tau_z)|\text{sing}\rangle &= -3|\text{sing}\rangle \\ (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau})|T_1\rangle &= \sigma_x \tau_x)|T_1\rangle + (\sigma_y \tau_y)|T_1\rangle + (\sigma_z \tau_z)|T_1\rangle &= +1|T_1\rangle \\ (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau})|T_2\rangle &= \sigma_x \tau_x)|T_2\rangle + (\sigma_y \tau_y)|T_2\rangle + (\sigma_z \tau_z)|T_2\rangle &= +1|T_2\rangle \\ (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau})|T_3\rangle &= \sigma_x \tau_x)|T_3\rangle + (\sigma_y \tau_y)|T_3\rangle + (\sigma_z \tau_z)|T_3\rangle &= +1|T_3\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Hence, as forefold by the authors after this exercise, the triplets share a degenerate eigenvalue (+1), while the singlet is associated to a unique eigenvalue (-3), which justifies a posteriori their names.